COPE-JL68-0021

[Reprinted from the Journal of Physical Chemistry, **72**, 603 (1968).] Copyright 1968 by the American Chemical Society and reprinted by permission of the copyright owner.

JAN 23 1969

Thermodynamics of High-Temperature High-Pressure Solutions.

Argon in Molten Sodium Nitrate¹

by James L. Copeland and Lawrence Seibles

Department of Chemistry, Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas 66502 (Received July 24, 1967)

The solubility of Ar in molten NaNO₃ has been determined over a temperature range from 356 to 441° at pressures from 151 to 395 atm. Henry's law constants, $K_{\rm h}$, and distribution coefficients, $K_{\rm e}$, were found for the resulting six solubility-pressure isotherms. A plot of log $K_{\rm h}$ vs. 1/T yielded a value for the enthalpy of solution, ΔH , of -1.69 ± 0.21 kcal mole⁻¹. The standard entropy of solution, corresponding to a standard state of 1 M concentrations of gas in both the liquid and gaseous states, ΔS_c° , resulted as -4.97 ± 0.32 eu from the intercept of a plot of $\log K_c vs. 1/T$. The results are compared to similar work in this laboratory involving N₂ in fused NaNO₈, where $\Delta H = -2.73 \pm 0.09$ kcal mol⁻¹ and $\Delta S_c^{\circ} = -6.78 \pm$ 0.18 eu, and with low pressure work in molten fluoride systems performed in other laboratories where ΔH values were endothermic. Calculations of ΔH 's of solution for both the Ar and N_2 work using approximate fugacities rather than pressures did not change the values appreciably, yielding -1.84 ± 0.21 kcal mol⁻¹ for Ar in NaNO₃ and $-2.69 \pm$ 0.08 kcal mol⁻¹ for N₂ in NaNO₃. Conclusions are drawn which compare the relative contributions of exothermic solvation effects and endothermic molecular cavity creation work in the liquid to the over-all heat of solution. It is seen that predictions based on such a simple model conceived for the N_2 work are, for the most part, reasonably confirmed in the present investigation.

Introduction

In a previous paper the authors reported the results of a study of the temperature and high-pressure dependences of the solubility of N_2 in fused NaNO₃.² The system was found to possess an exothermic heat of solution and a rather high negative entropy of solution. A tentative simple model was advanced which

(1) This work was presented in part at the Third Midwest Regional Meeting of the American Chemical Society, Columbia, Mo., Nov 1967,

Volume 72, Number 2 February 1968

conceived of exothermic solvation effects dominating over endothermic work of molecular cavity creation necessary to accommodate a solute particle. It was predicted that a molecule of comparable size to N_2 , but of less polarizability, such as Ar, should therefore be somewhat less soluble in NaNO₃ than is N_2 , and should have a somewhat less exothermic heat of solution (if indeed exothermic at all). In addition, a monatomic gas, such as Ar, would be expected to suffer a less negative entropy of solution than did the diatomic N_2 molecules. The present investigation serves to confirm these predictions to a large degree.

Experimental Section and Data Treatment

The apparatus and procedure were the same as previously described.² Four separate runs were completed, each at salt temperatures of 714, 701, 679.5, 663, 642, and 629°K to yield six solubility-pressure isotherms consisting of four points apiece. The maximum Ar pressure for any experiment was 395 atm, and the minimum pressure was 151 atm for any run.

As in previous work,^{2,3} the decrease in moles of the gas phase in the system, between a given high temperature and room temperature, was attributed to gas solubility in the melt. The density of liquid NaNO₃, $d_{t,p}$, at a given centigrade temperature, t, and piston pressure, P (atm), used for calculating the total volume of pure salt was obtained from the empirical equation

$$d_{t,p} = 2.134 - (7.03 \times 10^{-4})t +$$

(3.9 × 10⁻⁵)P g cm⁻³ (1)

where the temperature coefficient is from the work of Bloom, Knaggs, Molloy, and Welch,⁴ and the pressure coefficient is based on the data of Owens.⁵ An error, which should be well within the experimental error of the over-all procedure, probably persists as the result of using such pure-salt densities as estimates of the actual solution densities.

At the higher temperatures and pressures employed in this work (much above 600°K and 200 atm), use of the best $P-\bar{V}-T$ data available (those compiled by Din⁶) would entail too extensive extrapolations for reasonably accurate estimates of the molar volumes of Ar. Therefore, the Beattie-Bridgeman equation was employed to find \bar{V} at all temperatures and pressures used, for consistency. This equation is usually a quartic in \bar{V}^7

$$0 = P \bar{V}^4 - RT \bar{V}^3 - (RTB_0 - Rc/T^2 - A_0) \bar{V}^2 + (RcB_0/T^2 - aA_0) \bar{V} - RcbB_0/T^2$$
(2)

However, with numerical values for the constants for Ar of:⁸ $A_0 = 1.2907$, a = 0.02328, $B_0 = 0.03931$, b = 0, and $c = 5.99 \times 10^4$, the equation reduces to a cubic in \overline{V} for Ar since b = 0

$$f(\bar{V}) = P \bar{V}^3 - RT \bar{V}^2 - (RTB_0 - Rc/T^2 - A_0) \bar{V} + (RcB_0/T^2 - aA_0) = 0 \quad (3)$$

The Journal of Physical Chemistry

 \overline{V} (in l. mole⁻¹) was found for every P-T pair employed in this work by programming an IBM-1410 to calculate \overline{V} from eq 3 by the Newton-Raphson method. Ideal gas values for \overline{V} were used as beginning trial values. Generally, only four iterations were required by the computer to produce an acceptable value of \overline{V} , but in a very few cases five such iterations were necessary. (An acceptable value of \overline{V} was taken to have been attained when the absolute value of $[f(\overline{V})/(df(\overline{V})/d)\overline{V}]/\overline{V}$ was less than 10^{-5} .) To test the accuracy of solutions so obtained for the high-temperature and pressure region, some calculated \overline{V} values were compared to Din's literature values⁶ at the upper end of his table. Table I compares these calculated \overline{V}

Table I:	Comparison of Some \overline{V}_{lit}^6 and \overline{V}_{calcd} Values for
Argon Ob	tained by Computer Solutions of the
Beattie-B	ridgeman Equation

P, atm	<i>Т</i> , °К	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	$ar{V}_{ m lit}$, l. mole ⁻¹	% diff
180	550	0.2630	0.2622	0.305
180	600	0.2877	0.2864	0.454
200	550	0.2381	0.2371	0.422
200	600	0.2605	0.2590	0.580

quantities with their corresponding literature values. It is seen from this table that the agreement of the \vec{V} values is good. We assumed, therefore, that the Beattie-Bridgeman calculations of \vec{V} for Ar would satisfy our needs in this work.

Average Henry's law constants, K_h , and distribution coefficients, K_e , where

$$K_{\rm h} = C_{\rm d}/P \text{ mol of gas cm}^{-3} \text{ atm}^{-1} \qquad (4a)$$

$$K_{\rm e} = C_{\rm d}/C_{\rm g}$$
 (unitless) (4b)

 $(C_{\rm d} = \text{concentration of gas in dissolved phase}, C_{\rm g} = \text{concentration of gas in gaseous phase})$ were calculated for each solubility-pressure isotherm.

Results

Table II summarizes the experimental temperatures, pressures, solubilities, Henry's law constants, and distribution coefficients. Theoretical values of the latter

(2) J. L. Copeland and L. Seibles, J. Phys. Chem., 70, 1811 (1966).

(4) H. Bloom, I. W. Knaggs, J. J. Molloy, and D. Welch, Trans. Faraday Soc., 49, 1458 (1953).

(5) B. B. Owens, J. Chem. Phys., 44, 3918 (1966).

(6) F. Din, "Thermodynamic Functions of Gases," Vol. 2, Butterworth and Co., Ltd., London, 1962, pp 192-193.

(7) C. E. Holley, Jr., W. J. Worlton, and R. K. Zeigler, Project LA-2271, Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory of the University of California, Los Alamos, N. M., 1959.

(8) J. R. Partington, "An Advanced Treatise on Physical Chemistry," Vol. 1, Longmans, Green and Co., Ltd., London, 1949, p 726.

⁽³⁾ J. L. Copeland and W. C. Zybko, ibid., 69, 3631 (1965).